

List of Case Authorities That Need Not Be Included In Bundles of Authorities

With reference to **HMD Circular 5.2 at D**, the case authorities below do not need to be included in parties' bundles of authorities where their counter-parties have legal representation. This is intended to help parties save costs where the counter-parties' lawyers already have access to the case authorities.

If one party has legal representation and the other does not (self-represented or represented by agents who are not lawyers), the former has to provide all cited case authorities to the latter, but the latter need not provide case authorities that are on the list to the former.

1. All IPOS decisions from 2010
2. ***V V Technology Pte Ltd v Twitter, Inc*** [2023] 5 SLR 513
3. ***Ceramiche Caesar SpA v Caesarstone Sdot-Yam Ltd*** [2017] 2 SLR 308; [2017] SGCA 30
4. ***Société des Produits Nestlé SA and another v Petra Foods Ltd and another*** [2017] 1 SLR 35; [2016] SGCA 64
5. ***Singsung Pte Ltd v LG 26 Electronics Pte Ltd (trading as L S Electrical Trading)*** [2016] 4 SLR 86; [2016] SGCA 33
6. ***Rovio Entertainment Ltd v Kimanis Food Industries Sdn Bhd*** [2015] 5 SLR 618; [2015] SGHC 216
7. ***Staywell Hospitality Group Pty Ltd v Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc and another and another appeal*** [2014] 1 SLR 911; [2013] SGCA 65
8. ***Hai Tong Co (Pte) Ltd v Ventree Singapore Pte Ltd and another and another appeal*** [2013] 2 SLR 941; [2013] SGCA 26
9. ***Sarika Connoisseur Cafe Pte Ltd v Ferrero SpA*** [2013] 1 SLR 531; [2012] SGCA 56
10. ***Ferrero SPA v Sarika Connoisseur Cafe Pte Ltd*** [2011] SGHC 176
11. ***Valentino Globe BV v Pacific Rim Industries Inc*** [2010] 2 SLR 1203; [2010] SGCA 14
12. ***City Chain Stores (S) Pte Ltd v Louis Vuitton Malletier*** [2010] 1 SLR 382; [2009] SGCA 53
13. ***Novelty Pte Ltd v Amanresorts Ltd and another*** [2009] 3 SLR(R) 216; [2009] SGCA 13
14. ***Love & Co Pte Ltd v The Carat Club Pte Ltd*** [2009] 1 SLR(R) 561; [2008] SGHC 158